

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,488	10/18/2004	Dan Zhou	UCF-294DIV	3162	
23717 LAW OFFICE	7590 03/31/200 S OF BRIAN S STEIN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
101 BREVARD AVENUE			PATEL, TAYAN B		
COCOA, FL 3	2922		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,488		ZHOU ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TAYAN PATEL	1795		
	TATAN PATEL	1795		

	TAYAN PATEL	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 1.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	-ED WITHIN IW
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period even under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further conto They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou diamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		.,,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		l be entered and an e	cplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See below.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues that would require further consideration and/or a search are as follows: For claim 8, a container having a closed bottom portion and an open top portion; a magnetic stirrer for apiting he liquid hydrocarbon in the container, and a power supply with an ammeter and a voltmeter connected between the anode and the cathode. For claim 18, a container for housing the liquid hydrocarbon made of at least one of glass ceramic material. For claim 19, the plurality of electrodes further including a reference electrode to monitor the electrochemical activity in the container..

11. The arguments are directed to Claim 8 as amended. Claim 8 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because a further search and/or consideration is required to determine whether a magnetic strere in combination with the other components in the electrochemical deposition appearatus would be obvious. In addition, new claims 18-19 have been introduced. Claim 18 discloses the container for housing the liquid hydrocarbon to be made of at least one of glass or ceramic material. Claim 19 discloses the plurality of electrodes including a reference electrode to monitor. Claims 18-19 do NOT place the application in condition for allowance given their dependency from claim 8 and will also require a further search and/or consideration in order to determine if the vare obvious.